1. I had given Claude the file that was provided to me to help me explain the code for the file. I had it explain each function to me. I first needed to make sure what I was looking at before I got started and I used Claude since it was the best at breaking the code into modular pieces that are easily understood by the user.
2. I gave the file for the program and asked it to provide me detailed steps as to what needed to be done for the program and to ensure that each step was followed, I asked for a checklist to help keep track of everything that was done.
3. I gave Claude the prompt to switch from maybe to a result type evaluator. I did this since Claude was better at writing the code. From the previous 2 assignments I understood that Claude was better at writing the code and explaining the code.
4. There was an error that I got when I was trying to define a new variable after defining one above, it gave me an error stating that this was wrong, provided the error to Claude got a response.
5. I asked Claude to provide a better REPL one that is easier to understand and read.
6. Asked Deepseek to analyze my code given the requirements to make sure that I was able to meet all of the specified requirements and that I would be able to get a good grade.

Since I had used these LLMs for these assignments before I was able to delegate the tasks between them to ensure that they would give me great answers. Claude was great at code generation and code explanation. GPT is great at breaking down tasks that seem like a lot of work. Having these simplified steps from GPt helped ease my mind because I knew what I had to do to ensure a good grade. Deepseek was okay at the analysis, I would have preferred Claude but it has a limit of the free messages. Each LLM has its own strengths and weaknesses and due to my experience using them I was able to make the process of implementing this program easier on myself.